

Determining the refeeding syndrome awareness levels of nurses working in intensive care units

Kıymet Meses¹, Zehra Eskimez², Kaniye Aydın³, Pınar Yeşil Demirci⁴

¹Balcalı Hospital, Çukurova University, Adana, Türkiye

²Department of Nursing Fundamentals, Faculty of Health Sciences, Çukurova University, Adana, Türkiye

³Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Çukurova University, Adana, Türkiye

⁴Department of Internal Medicine Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Çukurova University, Adana, Türkiye

*This study was presented as an oral presentation at the 17th International Hippocrates Congress on Medical and Health Sciences (14-15 March, 2025, Online, Türkiye).

Cite this article as: Meses K, Eskimez Z, Aydın K, Yeşil Demirci P. Determining the refeeding syndrome awareness levels of nurses working in intensive care units. *Clin Sci Nutr.* 2025;7(1):37-46.

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study was conducted to determine the refeeding syndrome (RFS) awareness levels of nurses working in the intensive care unit.

Methods: A descriptive research design was employed. The study was conducted with nurses working in adult ICUs at Çukurova University Balcalı Hospital.

Results: A total of 73 nurses participated in the study. There was a high-level, statistically significant relationship between participants' education level and giving correct answers to the statement "Only the dietician is responsible for patient nutrition" ($p < 0.05$). A statistically significant relationship was found between the level of education and giving correct answers to the questions about "the most common vitamin deficiency" ($p = 0.003$) and "the risk of RFS in stroke patients" ($p = 0.004$), compared to giving incorrect responses to these items. A statistically significant relationship was detected between the total work experience and giving correct answers to the following questions: "Individuals with low body mass index (BMI) have a risk of developing RFS," "Individuals who develop RFS have normal blood potassium levels," "Only the dietician is responsible for patient nutrition," "The patient's weight is monitored before feeding," and "RFS is an apparent abnormality" ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: It was determined that nurses who worked in intensive care units and had an undergraduate or above education had better knowledge of RFS than those with an associate degree or below education.

Keywords: refeeding syndrome, nursing, refeeding, intensive care unit, awareness level

Introduction

Refeeding syndrome (RFS) is defined as a series of metabolic and electrolyte changes that occur as a result of the reintroduction and/or increase of calories after

a period of reduced or no calorie intake.¹ It was first identified during World War II when prisoners of war experienced unexpected disorders and death after they were fed. Fatal cardiac complications occurred after individuals were rapidly refeed.²

Corresponding author: Kıymet Meses

Email: kiymetmeses@gmail.com

Received: November 16, 2024

Accepted: April 12, 2025

Published: April 25, 2025

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s). Published by Turkish Society of Clinical Enteral and Parenteral Nutrition. This is an open access article distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is properly cited.

RFS was observed in 48% of severely malnourished patients, 34% of intensive care unit (ICU) patients, 33% of patients with anorexia nervosa, 25% of inpatients with cancer, and 9.5% of hospitalized patients in a study.³ Reported incidence rates vary between 0% and 80%, depending on the recognition of the disease and the patient population studied.⁴

The basic principle in the prevention and treatment of RFS is to identify patients at risk of developing the syndrome and have an experienced multidisciplinary team (physician, nurse, and dietitian) monitor nutritional intake and fluid-electrolyte replacement.⁵

RFS is a potentially life-threatening disease; however, it is largely preventable. To prevent it, patients at risk of developing RFS must be identified, and appropriate protocols must be implemented. Nurses play a critical role in the care of patients at risk of developing RFS who are at high risk of malnutrition, have poor oral intake, or cannot tolerate nasogastric feeding.⁶ While providing care for patients, nurses use their knowledge, experience, and critical thinking skills to decide which interventions will benefit the patient the most in line with the nursing process.⁷ Therefore, it is very valuable for nurses to know the basics of fluid, electrolyte, and nutrient metabolism as well as metabolic complications to identify patients at risk for metabolic complications due to RFS and to report these findings to their team members.⁸ In a study in Israel titled "The Role and Knowledge of Intensive Care Nurses in the Assessment and Management of Hypophosphatemia and RFS," it was revealed that intensive care nurses were unclear about their roles and had little knowledge about nutritional management.⁹ A study in Yemen titled "The Assessment of the Level of Knowledge of RFS among Physicians and Nurses in ICUs" indicated that the level of nurses' knowledge was low.¹⁰ In another study at Assiut University Hospital in Egypt titled "The perceptions of

intensive care nurses and physicians about RFS," nurses' knowledge levels were found inadequate.¹¹

In 2017, the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN), Parenteral Nutrition (PN) Safety Committee and Clinical Practice Committee established an interprofessional task force consisting of dietitians, nurses, pharmacists, and physicians, who were commissioned to develop consensus recommendations for screening and managing patients who were at risk for or who had developed RF.¹ The multidisciplinary team, consisting of nurses and other healthcare team members, collaborates in ICUs to manage the care and treatment procedures of patients with RFS.¹² As members of the healthcare team, frequently evaluating patients in terms of all daily living activities and constantly monitoring them and observing potential problems at the earliest, nurses play a critical role in the evaluation, monitoring, and follow-up of many complex processes in the ICU.⁹ It has been stated that careful patient monitoring and disciplined team management help to recognize early symptoms of RFS and reduce morbidity and mortality.¹³ Therefore, nurses need to be aware of early signs, symptoms, or various clinical features of RFS and to recognize the pathophysiology of this syndrome so that they can monitor early symptoms and implement appropriate interventions in patient care.⁷

A review of the literature indicated that there were no studies in Türkiye to measure the level of ICU nurses' awareness of RFS. Early recognition and management of refeeding syndrome is of vital importance in terms of improving clinical outcomes. In this context, this study was conducted to determine the RFS awareness levels of intensive care nurses. In this context, the research questions were determined as follows.

1. Do nurses working in adult ICUs have awareness of RFS?
2. Do nurses working in adult ICUs have enough knowledge about RFS?

Materials and Methods

Research type

A descriptive and cross-sectional research design was employed.

Main Points

- Early recognition and management of refeeding syndrome is of vital importance in terms of improving clinical outcomes.
- This study was conducted to determine the RFS awareness levels of intensive care nurses
- It was determined that nurses who worked in intensive care units and had an undergraduate or above education had better knowledge of RFS than those with an associate degree or below education.

Setting

This research was conducted with nurses working in adult ICUs at Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Balcalı Hospital.

Population and sample

The population of the study consisted of 140 nurses who had been actively working as nurses at Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Balcalı Hospital for at least one year. Nurses working in adult ICUs at Balcalı Hospital made up the sample. Inclusion criteria were working as an active nurse in adult ICUs of the Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Balcalı Hospital for the past year and volunteering to participate in the study. Considering the inclusion criteria in the study, it was aimed to recruit the entire population without implementing a sampling procedure. Accordingly, all nurses were interviewed, and the study was conducted with those who agreed to participate voluntarily in the study. The participation rate in the study was 52.2% (n=73).

Ethics of the research

At the outset, the approval of the Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine Non-Interventional Clinical Research Ethics Committee was obtained (Meeting number: 128; Decision Number: 38, 02.12.2022). Participation in the study was voluntary, and individuals who gave consent were informed about the protection of confidentiality and privacy and that they could withdraw from the study at any time.

Data collection tools

1. Personal Information Form: This form was prepared by the researcher. It consists of questions about participants' socio-demographic characteristics (age, gender, marital status, educational status, etc.).
2. RFS Information Form: This form includes statements about nurses' perceptions of their roles in the management of nutritional care, information on the importance of electrolyte monitoring before starting refeeding, and information on RFS.

Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed on the SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 27) software. Findings were interpreted through descriptive statistics and frequency tables. "Pearson- χ^2 " cross tables were employed to study the correlations between two qualitative variables. The p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Participants' mean age was 30.17 ± 5.71 (years), and 45 (35.0%) of them were in the <30 age group. Fifty-nine of them (80.8%) were female, 50 (68.5%) had an undergraduate degree, and 39 (53.4%) were married. Fifty-eight of the participants (79.5%) liked their job, Total nursing experience was 8.07 ± 6.36 (years), 25 (34.2%) had been nurses for ≥ 10 years, 55 (75.3%) had not received nutrition education, and 52 (71.2%) worked voluntarily in the ICU (Table 1).

There was a high and statistically significant relationship between the level of education and the rate of correct responses to the item "Only the dietician is responsible for patient nutrition," compared to the rate of incorrect responses ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2).

A statistically significant relationship was found between the level of education and the rate of correct responses to the items about "The most common vitamin deficiency" ($p = 0.003$) and "Risk of RFS in stroke patients" ($p = 0.004$), compared to the rate of incorrect responses (Table 3). There was no statistically significant relationship between the level of education and the rate of correct/incorrect responses to other items in the table ($p > 0.05$) (Table 3).

The relationship between total work experience groups and the rate of correct responses to the items "Those with low BMI* are at risk for developing RFS" ($p = 0.018$), "Those who develop RFS have normal blood potassium levels" ($p = 0.048$), "Only the dietician is responsible for patient nutrition" ($p = 0.017$) and "The patient's weight is monitored before feeding" was statistically significant ($p = 0.002$). There was no statistically significant relationship between total work experience groups and the rate of correct responses to other items in the table ($p > 0.05$) (Table 4).

Table 1. Distribution of participant characteristics		
Variable (N=73)	n	%
Age groups		
<30	45	61.6
≥30	28	38.4
Gender		
Female	59	80.8
Male	14	19.2
Level of education		
Vocational high school	13	17.8
Associate degree	7	9.6
Undergraduate degree	50	68.5
Master's degree	3	4.1
Marital status		
Married	39	53.4
Single	34	46.6
Status of liking the profession		
Yes	58	79.5
No	15	20.5
Total nursing experience (years)		
<5	24	32.9
5-9	24	32.9
≥10	25	34.2
Having received nutrition education		
Yes	18	24.7
No	55	75.3
*Working voluntarily in the ICU		
Yes	52	71.2
No	21	28.8

*ICU:(Intensive Care Unit)

A statistically significant relationship was found between total work experience groups and the rate of correct responses to the item "RFS is an apparent abnormality" ($p=0.021$). There was no statistically significant relationship between total work experience groups and the rate of correct/incorrect responses to other items in the table ($p>0.05$) (Table 5).

Discussion

Nutrition is an interdisciplinary process.⁹ A multidisciplinary team approach is vital for the management of RFS. Nurses, who are part of this team, play an important role

in the care of patients at risk of developing RFS who have poor oral intake and intolerance to nasogastric intake. They are responsible for the assessment, planning, and implementation of nutrition; therefore, they need to be able to identify the risk factors, pathophysiology, and clinical features of RFS and to report these to appropriate team members.⁶ When planning nutritional therapy, experienced clinical nutrition teams should be able to predict the complications that may develop when the patient is refeed after a long period of fasting, and they should be able to interpret abnormalities in biochemical findings and the patient's clinical condition and report these to the appropriate team members.¹⁴

According to the findings of this study, a statistically significant relationship was found between the nurses' education level and the rate of correct/incorrect responses to the statement "Only the dietitian is responsible for patient nutrition." The majority of those who responded to this question accurately had an undergraduate degree or higher education, while all those who answered incorrectly had an associate degree or below education. Contrary to our study, a study in Israel indicated that 91.1% of the nurses participating in the study did not consider nutritional care and follow-up as their responsibility, thinking it only as the responsibility of a dietitian.⁹ Our study showed that intensive care nurses (with an undergraduate degree or higher education) were aware of their roles and responsibilities among team members in monitoring and managing patients at risk of or with RFS.

In our study, a statistically significant relationship was found between the level of education and the rate of correct/incorrect responses to the statement "The most common vitamin deficiency." It was determined that 49 nurses with an undergraduate degree or higher education (92.5%) had answered the question correctly. The results of the study conducted in Egypt were consistent with our study findings. In the study, 70% of the nurses gave correct answers to the statement "RFS is the most common vitamin deficiency".¹¹ In another study conducted in Sana, Yemen, the rate of correct responses to the item "RFS is the most common vitamin deficiency" was 26.7%, contrary to our study.¹⁰ Our study revealed that nurses with an undergraduate degree or higher education had better nutrition knowledge.

In our study, a statistically significant relationship was found between the level of education and the rate of correct/incorrect responses to the statement "Risk of RFS in stroke patients." It was determined that 44 people

Level of education Variable	Associate degree/ below (n=20)		Undergraduate degree/above (n=53)		Statistical analysis* Probability
	n	%	n	%	
"Only the nurse is responsible for diet care monitoring."					
True	20	100.0	51	96.2	$\chi^2=0.776$
False	-	-	2	3.8	$p=0.378$
"Only the nurse is responsible for electrolyte monitoring."					
True	20	100.0	53	100.0	#
"The nurse reports electrolyte abnormalities to the physician."					
True	6	30.0	27	50.9	$\chi^2=2.571$
False	14	70.0	26	49.1	$p=0.109$
"Nutrition should be provided according to RMR* measurement."					
True	5	25.0	11	20.8	$\chi^2=0.153$
False	15	75.0	42	79.2	$p=0.696$
"Those with low BMI* are at risk for developing RFS."					
True	14	70.0	38	71.7	$\chi^2=0.020$
False	6	30.0	15	28.3	$p=0.886$
"Those who develop RFS have normal blood potassium levels."					
True	11	55.0	27	50.9	$\chi^2=0.096$
False	9	45.0	26	49.1	$p=0.757$
"The nurse regularly monitors nutritional status."					
True	17	85.0	50	94.3	$\chi^2=1.679$
False	3	15.0	3	5.7	$p=0.195$
"Only the dietitian is responsible for the patient's nutrition."					
True	16	80.0	53	100.0	$\chi^2=11.214$
False	4	20.0	-	-	$p<0.001$
"The nurse monitors blood sugar regularly."					
True	18	90.0	51	96.2	$\chi^2=1.087$
False	2	10.0	2	3.8	$p=0.297$
"Blood electrolytes are checked daily before feeding."					
True	13	65.0	40	75.5	$\chi^2=0.800$
False	7	35.0	13	24.5	$p=0.371$
"The patient's weight is monitored before feeding."					
True	16	80.0	47	88.7	$\chi^2=0.925$
False	4	20.0	6	11.3	$p=0.336$
"Nurses are always aware of RFS*."					
True	11	55.0	39	63.6	$\chi^2=2.324$
False	9	45.0	14	26.4	$p=0.127$
"Updated information and education on nutritional status is provided."					
True	8	40.0	22	41.5	$\chi^2=0.014$
False	12	60.0	31	58.5	$p=0.907$

*RMR (Resting metabolic rate), BMI (Body mass index), RFS (Refeeding syndrome)

**Pearson- χ^2 cross tables were used to examine the relationships between two qualitative variables.

Table 3. Examination of the relationship between the level of education and knowledge levels about RFS

Level of education Variable	Associate degree/ below (n=20)		Undergraduate degree/ above (n=53)		Statistical analysis* Probability
	n	%	n	%	
"RFS is the route of feeding."					
True	15	75,0	46	86,8	$\chi^2=1,470$
False	5	25,0	7	13,2	$p=0,225$
"RFS is an apparent abnormality."					
True	12	60,0	31	58,5	$\chi^2=0,014$
False	8	40,0	22	41,5	$p=0,907$
"RFS is the source of electrolyte disturbance."					
True	4	20,0	10	18,9	$\chi^2=0,012$
False	16	80,0	43	81,1	$p=0,913$
"RFS is the most common vitamin deficiency."					
True	13	65,0	49	92,5	$\chi^2=8,551$
False	7	35,0	4	7,5	$p=0,003$
"RFS is not a risk factor."					
True	3	15,0	21	39,6	$\chi^2=2,951$
False	17	85,0	32	60,4	$p=0,086$
"Operations increase the risk of RFS."					
True	18	90,0	50	94,3	$\chi^2=0,429$
False	2	10,0	3	5,7	$p=0,513$
"RFS is risky weight loss."					
True	16	80,0	43	81,1	$\chi^2=0,012$
False	4	20,0	10	18,9	$p=0,913$
"Risks in patients receiving CT**"					
True	12	60,0	42	79,2	$\chi^2=1,883$
False	8	40,0	11	20,8	$p=0,170$
"Risk of RFS in stroke patients"					
True	10	50,0	44	83,0	$\chi^2=8,223$
False	10	50,0	9	17,0	$p=0,004$
"Ocular disease develops in those with RFS."					
True	4	20,0	13	24,5	$\chi^2=0,167$
False	16	80,0	40	75,5	$p=0,683$
"Distinguishing inaccurate information about RFS"					
True	8	40,0	29	54,7	$\chi^2=1,258$
False	12	60,0	24	45,3	$p=0,262$
"The most important application for RFS"					
True	19	95,0	48	90,6	$\chi^2=0,378$
False	1	5,0	5	9,4	$p=0,538$

*CT(chemotherapy)

**Pearson- χ^2 cross tables were used to examine the relationships between two qualitative variables.

Table 4. Examination of the relationship between total work experience and knowledge levels about RFS

Total work experience Variable	<5 years (n=24)		5-9 years (n=24)		≥10 years (n=25)		Statistical analysis* Probability
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
"Only the nurse is responsible for diet care monitoring."							
True	22	91.7	24	100.0	25	100.0	$\chi^2=4.198$
False	2	8.3	-	-	-	-	p=0.123
"Only the nurse is responsible for electrolyte monitoring."							
True	24	100.0	24	100.0	25	100.0	#
"The nurse reports electrolyte abnormalities to the physician."							
True	11	45.8	10	41.7	12	48.0	$\chi^2=0.204$
False	13	54.2	14	58.3	13	52.0	p=0.903
"Nutrition should be provided according to RMR* measurement."							
True	3	12.5	7	29.2	6	24.0	$\chi^2=2.044$
False	21	87.5	17	70.8	19	76.0	p=0.360
"Those with low BMI* are at risk for developing RFS."							
True	12	50.0	19	79.2	21	84.0	$\chi^2=8.006$
False	12	50.0	5	20.8	4	16.0	p=0.018
"Those who develop RFS have normal blood potassium levels."							
True	8	33.3	13	54.2	17	68.0	$\chi^2=6.076$
False	16	66.7	11	45.8	8	32.0	p=0.048
"The nurse regularly monitors nutritional status."							
True	23	95.8	22	91.7	22	88.0	$\chi^2=0.997$
False	1	4.2	2	8.3	3	12.0	p=0.608
"Only the dietitian is responsible for the patient's nutrition."							
True	24	100.0	24	100.0	21	84.0	$\chi^2=8.125$
False	-	-	-	-	4	16.0	p=0.017
"The nurse monitors blood sugar regularly."							
True	23	95.8	21	87.5	25	100.0	$\chi^2=3.813$
False	1	4.2	3	12.5	-	-	p=0.149
"Blood electrolytes are checked daily before feeding."							
True	15	62.5	17	70.8	21	84.0	$\chi^2=2.902$
False	9	37.5	7	29.2	4	16.0	p=0.234
"The patient's weight is monitored before feeding."							
True	22	91.7	16	66.7	25	100.0	$\chi^2=12.379$
False	2	8.3	8	33.3	-	-	p=0.002
"Nurses are always aware of RFS*."							
True	19	79.2	14	58.3	17	68.0	$\chi^2=2.418$
False	5	20.8	10	41.7	8	32.0	p=0.299
"Updated information and education on nutritional status is provided."							
True	8	33.3	8	33.3	14	56.0	$\chi^2=3.489$
False	16	66.7	16	66.7	11	44.0	p=0.175

*RMR (Resting metabolic rate), BMI (Body mass index), RFS (Refeeding syndrome)

**Pearson- χ^2 cross tables were used to examine the relationships between two qualitative variables.

Table 5. Examination of the relationship between total work experience and knowledge levels about RFS

Total work experience Variable	<5 years (n=24)		5-9 years (n=24)		≥10 years (n=25)		Statistical analysis* Probability
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
"RFS is the route of feeding."							
True	19	79.2	21	87.5	21	84.0	$\chi^2=0.612$
False	5	20.8	3	12.5	4	16.0	p=0.736
"RFS is an apparent abnormality."							
True	10	41.7	13	54.2	20	80.0	$\chi^2=7.765$
False	14	58.3	11	45.8	5	20.0	p=0.021
"RFS is the source of electrolyte disturbance."							
True	6	25.0	3	12.5	5	20.0	$\chi^2=1.226$
False	18	75.0	21	87.5	20	80.0	p=0.542
"RFS is the most common vitamin deficiency."							
True	22	91.7	20	83.3	20	80.0	$\chi^2=1.375$
False	2	8.3	4	16.7	5	20.0	p=0.503
"RFS is not a risk factor."							
True	5	20.8	9	37.5	10	40.0	$\chi^2=2.385$
False	19	79.2	15	62.5	15	60.0	p=0.304
"Operations increase the risk of RFS."							
True	21	87.5	22	91.7	25	100.0	$\chi^2=3.122$
False	3	12.5	2	8.3	-	-	p=0.210
"RFS is risky weight loss."							
True	20	83.3	19	79.2	20	80.0	$\chi^2=0.151$
False	4	16.7	5	20.8	5	20.0	p=0.927
"Risks in patients receiving CT**"							
True	20	83.3	15	62.5	19	76.0	$\chi^2=2.786$
False	4	16.7	9	37.5	6	24.0	p=0.248
"Risk of RFS in stroke patients"							
True	19	79.2	16	66.7	19	76.0	$\chi^2=1.055$
False	5	20.8	8	33.3	6	24.0	p=0.590
"Ocular disease develops in those with RFS."							
True	6	25.0	7	29.2	4	16.0	$\chi^2=1.247$
False	18	75.0	17	70.8	21	84.0	p=0.536
"Distinguishing inaccurate information about RFS"							
True	12	50.0	12	50.0	13	52.0	$\chi^2=0.026$
False	12	50.0	12	50.0	12	48.0	p=0.987
"The most important application for RFS"							
True	21	87.5	22	91.7	24	96.0	$\chi^2=1.173$
False	3	12.5	2	8.3	1	4.0	p=0.556

*CT(chemotherapy), RFS (Refeeding syndrome)

**Pearson- χ^2 cross tables were used to examine the relationships between two qualitative variables.

with an undergraduate degree or higher education (83.0%) gave correct responses to the item. The majority of those who responded to the item correctly had an undergraduate degree or higher education, while most of those who answered incorrectly had an associate degree or lower education. In contrast to our study, in a study in Egypt, 23.3% of the nurses identified the reason for the increased risk of RFS in stroke patients as dysphagia correctly.¹¹ In the study conducted in Sana, Yemen, 51.1% of the nurses identified the reason for the increased risk of RFS in stroke patients as dysphagia correctly, while 48.9% gave incorrect answers.¹⁰ According to our study and the literature, nurses with undergraduate and higher education are aware of refeeding syndrome and have more knowledge on this subject.

There was a statistically significant relationship between the total work experience and the rate of correct/incorrect responses to the items "Those with low BMI have a risk of developing RFS," "Those who develop RFS have normal blood potassium levels," and "The patient's weight is monitored before feeding." It was determined that the majority of those who answered the questions correctly had been working for ≥ 10 years, while most of those who answered them incorrectly had been working for < 5 years. The results of a systematic review of the Use of Approved Tools to Determine the Nutrition Knowledge of Physicians and Nurses were consistent with our study. It was stated that the nutritional knowledge of nurses who were specialized in the profession and had more practice experience was higher than the level of those who were not specialized and had less practice experience.¹⁵

Conclusions and Recommendations

It was determined that intensive care nurses with undergraduate or higher education had better levels of knowledge about refeeding syndrome than those who had associate or below education. It may be recommended that in-service training programs be organized to increase the knowledge of nurses, who are an integral part of a multidisciplinary team in nutritional care, regarding the identification and management of RFS, and that a protocol based on current nutritional guidelines be created to increase awareness of RFS.

Ethical approval

This study has been approved by the Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine Non-Interventional Clinical Research Ethics Committee (approval date 02.12.2022, number 38). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants.

Author contribution

The authors declare contribution to the paper as follows: Study conception and design: KM, ZE, KA, PYD; data collection: KM; analysis and interpretation of results: KM, ZE, KA, PYD; draft manuscript preparation: KM, ZE, KA, PYD. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the article.

Source of funding

The authors declare the study received no funding.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Da Silva JS, Seres DS, Sabino K, et al. ASPEN consensus recommendations for refeeding syndrome [published correction appears in *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2020;35(3):584-585.]. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2020;35:178-195. [\[Crossref\]](#)
2. Adkins SM. Recognizing and preventing refeeding syndrome. *Dimens Crit Care Nurs*. 2009;28:53-58. [\[Crossref\]](#)
3. Ponzo V, Pellegrini M, Cioffi I, Scaglione L, Bo S. The Refeeding Syndrome: a neglected but potentially serious condition for inpatients. A narrative review. *Intern Emerg Med*. 2021;16:49-60. [\[Crossref\]](#)
4. Friedli N, Stanga Z, Sobotka L, et al. Revisiting the refeeding syndrome: Results of a systematic review. *Nutrition*. 2017;35:151-160. [\[Crossref\]](#)
5. Koçer E, Gormez A. Refeeding syndrome. *Bezmialem Science*. 2017;5(3):121-125. [\[Crossref\]](#)

6. Adam K, Silva AND. Refeeding syndrome: an update and pragmatic approach to management. *Gastrointestinal Nursing*. 2018;16(9):37-42. [\[Crossref\]](#)
7. Kebede Y, Korhonen M. Nursing Interventions needed in Preventing Refeeding Syndrome in Anorexia Nervosa Inpatients: Literature Review 2016 [Bachelor's Thesis]. Laurea University of Applied Sciences; 2016.
8. Lauts NM. Management of the patient with refeeding syndrome. *J Infus Nurs*. 2005;28:337-342. [\[Crossref\]](#)
9. Ben-Tovim H, Theilla M. Role and knowledge of critical care nurses in the assessment and management of hypophosphataemia and refeeding syndrome. A descriptive exploratory study. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs*. 2021;67:103097. [\[Crossref\]](#)
10. Alghabri HM, Abbas NM, Alaghbari WW, et al. Assessment of the knowledge regarding refeeding syndrome among doctors and nurses in the intensive care units and inpatient wards Sana'a, Yemen [Graduation research report]. Republic of Yemen, University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences; 2023.
11. Mahran GSK, Abdelrahman HA. Critical Care Nurses' and Physicians' Perception about Re-feeding Syndrome. *American Journal of Nursing Research*. 2019;7:179-184.
12. Byrnes MC, Stangenes J. Refeeding in the ICU: an adult and pediatric problem. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2011;14:186-192. [\[Crossref\]](#)
13. Crook MA. Refeeding syndrome: problems with definition and management. *Nutrition*. 2014;30:1448-1455. [\[Crossref\]](#)
14. Çakır BK, Abbasoğlu O. Beslenme tedavisi alan hastalarda hipofosfatemiye neden olan faktörler. *Türk J Intensive Care*. 2022;20:72-78. [\[Crossref\]](#)
15. Zeldman J, Andrade JM. Identifying physicians' and nurses' nutrition knowledge using validated instruments: a systematic narrative. *Int J Nutr Food Sci*. 2020;9:43-53. [\[Crossref\]](#)